



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 5, 2004

Ms. Nancy Nelson
Associate Vice President for Employee Relations
El Paso Community College
P.O. Box 20500
El Paso, Texas 79998-0500

OR2004-0009

Dear Ms. Nelson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 193739.

The El Paso Community College District (the "district") received a request for information relating to Food Services CSP #04-001. You state that some information has been released but claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. You also claim that release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of a third party under section 552.110 of the Government Code, although you take no position as to whether the information is so excepted. You state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified Subway Real Estate Corporation and Subway Franchise ("Subway") of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you did not submit information responsive to items 2 and 4 of the request. Therefore, to the extent information responsive to these items exists, we assume that you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such information, you must release it to the requestor at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

We also note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, Subway has not submitted any comments to this office explaining how release of the requested information would affect its proprietary interests. Therefore, Subway has provided us with no basis to conclude that it has a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See* Gov't Code § 551.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 639 at 4 (1996), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

You assert that the submitted information is excepted under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Section 552.104 states that information is excepted from required public disclosure if release of the information would give advantage to a competitor or bidder. The purpose of this exception is to protect the interests of a governmental body usually in competitive bidding situations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). This exception protects information from public disclosure if the governmental body demonstrates potential harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. *See* Open Records Decision No. 463 (1987). Generally, section 552.104 does not except bids from public disclosure after bidding is completed and the contract has been awarded. *See* Open Records Decision No. 541 (1990).

You state that the requested information relates to a competitive bid that had not been awarded at the time of the present request. However, you fail to demonstrate how the release of the submitted information would give an advantage to a competitor in the current bidding process or harm the district's interest in the bidding process. Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (requiring a governmental body to explain the applicability of a raised exception). Therefore, we conclude that the submitted information is not excepted from disclosure based on section 552.104. As you claim no other exceptions, the submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Amy Peterson", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Amy D. Peterson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ADP/sdk

Ref: ID# 193739

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. John Stevens
Sodexo
607 Ladin Lane
Austin, Texas 78734
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Carole Adams
Subway Real Estate Corp. & Subway Franchise
1719 Villa Santos Circle
El Paso, Texas 79935
(w/o enclosures)